UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,085	01/03/2007	Paolo Rossin	163-651	1163
	7590 06/20/200 OSTIGAN P.C.		EXAMINER	
1185 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS		DANG, HUNG XUAN	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/543,085	ROSSIN, PAOLO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HUNG X. DANG	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ma</u>	arch 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · ·	<u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
	-/					

1. The amendment filed on 3/7/08 has been entered.

Claims Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112-1st

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The original disclosure does not support for the combination of the amended claim 1 and claim 5. The disclosure does not support for the first and second magnetic (15, 16) are positioned overlapping are respectively equipped with a pin (19) and a complementary seat (20) designed to define an axis of rotation (11) and said hinge device (14) as recited in amended claim 1 and said cylinder (15) engaging slidingly in said casing portion (16) as recited in claim 5.

The original disclosure does not support for the combination of the amended claim 1 and claim 6. The disclosure does not support for the first and second magnetic (15, 16) are positioned overlapping are respectively equipped with a pin (19) and a complementary seat (20) designed to define an axis of rotation (11) and said hinge device (14) as recited in amended claim 1 and the first and second magnetic element (15, 16) are position engaging side by side as recited in claim 5.

Claims Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 3 and 5-9, the numerals in the parentheses make the claims vague and confuse.

Claim 3 is vague and indefinite because the first magnetic (15) is held on a perimetric portion (17) with a lateral extension (18) and the second magnetic (16) is held on a perimetric portion (17) with one end of the temple (13). The first magnetic (15) cannot with one end of the temple (13) or the second magnet (16) cannot with a lateral extension (18) as recited in claim 3.

Claim 5 is vague and indefinite because reference character (15) has been used to designate both **first magnetic** and **a cylindrical** and reference character (16) has been used to designate both **second magnetic** and **a casing portion**.

Claim 7, what is a different between a complementary seat in claim1 line 10 and a complementary perimetric seat in claim 7, line 3?

Claim 8, a frame for eyeglasses (10, 10', 10", 100) is vague and indefinite because a frame for eyeglasses (10', 10", 100) do not support for the combination of amended claim 1 and claim 8.

Application / Number 10/543085

Claim 9, a frame for eyeglasses (10, 10', 10", 100) is vague and indefinite because a frame for eyeglasses (10', 10", 100) do not support for the combination of amended claim 1 and claim 9.

Claim 9 is vague and indefinite what is a different between the first and second magnetic elements (15, 16) as recited in claim 1 and a magnetic element (16), a corresponding magnetic element (15) as recited in claim 9?

Claims 8 and 9 being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim 1. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form.

Claims Allowable

4. Claim 1 is allowed.

Reasons For Allowance

5. The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as present in independent claim 1, which include the first and second magnetic element are positioned engaging overlapping and additionally characterized in that the first and the second magnetic element positioned overlapping are respectively equipped with a pin and a complementary seat designed to define an axis of rotation of the hinge device.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, and 5-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application / Number 10/543085

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang

at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

6/08 /Hung X. Dang/

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2873